arXiv:1403.6017v1 [gr-qc] 24 Mar 2014

Supergravity Induced Interactions on Thick Branes

Nejat T. Yılmaz
Department of Electrical and Electronics Engineering,
Yaşar University,
Selçuk Yaşar Kampüsü
Üniversite Caddesi, No:35-37,
AğaçlıYol, 35100,
Bornova, İzmir, Turkey.
nejat.yilmaz@yasar.edu.tr

March 25, 2014

Abstract

The gravity coupling of the symmetric space sigma model is studied in the solvable Lie algebra parametrization. The corresponding Einstein's equations are derived and the energy-momentum tensor is calculated. The results are used to derive the dynamical equations of the warped $5D$ geometry for localized bulk scalar interactions in the framework of thick brane world models. The Einstein and scalar field equations are derived for flat brane geometry in the context of minimal and non-minimal gravity-bulk scalar couplings.

Keywords: supergravity, branes, 5D warped geometry, symmetric spaces.
PACS: 04.50.-h, 11.25.Uv, 04.50.Kd, 04.65.+e, 04.60.Cf.

1 Introduction

Brane world scenarios which have their roots in open string theory ingredients D-branes are alternative approaches to relate higher dimensions to

the standard model of fundamental interactions. Instead of considering diminished and compact extra dimensions they provide sensible resolution especially to the hierarchy problem with non-compact extra dimensions. Although there were pioneer ideas in the 1980's [1, 2] the string theory inspired brane world cosmological scenarios were proposed and constructed much later [3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10]. These theories have flat or non-flat (warped), compact or non-compact bulk geometries. However they share the common feature that the $4D$ spacetime is an embedded solution in the bulk in such a way that the standard model fields are localized on it where as gravity can probe the extra dimension(s). The foundation of this picture lies in the dynamics of the various superstring theories in which the charge carrier open strings may be described by their end point dynamics of D-branes whereas the closed strings which accommodate gravitons are not constrained to live on the brane like open string boundaries. Unlike the pioneer models which ignore the brane size by explicitly containing Dirac-delta functions more realistic brane models which have finite brane size have been also constructed and are currently being studied [11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28]. These models fulfill the requirement of a fundamental length scale due to string theory. An updated review of these so-called thick branes can be found in [29]

In [28] it is discussed that the localized interactions of bulk scalars on a thick brane solution of a warped $5D$ bulk can be described by a sigma-model in which the brane forming scalar is non-linearly coupled to the bulk scalar fields via its derivatives. In this manner the kinetic term of the brane forming scalar is a function of the bulk scalars.

On the other hand the non-linear sigma model [30, 31, 32] governs the scalar sector of the supergravity theories [33, 34, 35, 36]. In particular if the target space (the scalar manifold) is a symmetric space [37] then we have a special case of the general sigma model which can be named as the symmetric space sigma model [38, 39, 40]. The compactifications of the $D = 11$ supergravity (with its S^1-compactified IIA supergravity redundant) as well as the $D = 10$ IIB supergravity and the $D = 10$ type I supergravity which is coupled to the Yang-Mills theory in majority produce scalar sectors in lower dimensions in the form of symmetric space sigma models. Moreover the IIA and the IIB supergravities describe the tree-level low energy dynamics of the type IIA and the type IIB superstring theories whereas the type I Yang-Mills supergravity is the effective low energy limit of the heterotic superstring theory. With this motivation in the above mentioned context of [28] in this work

we study and derive the thick brane dynamical equations in the presence of a generic symmetric space sigma model coupling of the $5D$ bulk gravity. We will focus on the flat Minkowski brane dynamics and derive the corresponding Einstein and scalar field equations for the warped geometry of the bulk. We will consider the both cases of the minimal and the non-minimal gravity-scalar coupling. Our formulation will be for localized bulk scalars coupling to the brane solution-generating scalar via a generic symmetric space sigma model lagrangian constructed in the solvable lie algebra gauge. The outline of the construction of symmetric space sigma models by means of the solvable Lie algebra or the axion-dilaton parametrization can be referred in [41, 42, 43, 44, 45, 46]. Furthermore in [47] an explicit construction of the symmetric space sigma model lagrangian in terms of the solvable Lie algebra parameters of the target space is presented for arbitrary trace conventions. The most general form of the field equations are also derived for the target space coordinates in the same work within a general algebraic formalism.

In Section two we consider the coupling of the gravity to the symmetric space sigma model in a dimension-free and general framework. After defining the action we derive the field equations and identify the energy-momentum tensor which we will adopt in the following section. In Section three we turn our attention to the dynamics which give rise to thick branes with localized bulk scalar interactions on them. We will first discuss the ingredients of the warped $5D$ geometry then we will derive the corresponding dynamical equations for the minimal and non-minimal gravity-scalar couplings. We will also express these equations in their appropriate form for a first-order formalism. Finally we will obtain the scalar field equations in the $5D$ warped geometry context. For completeness we collect some of the variational steps followed in deriving field equations of gravity-scalar couplings in the Appendix.

2 Coupling gravity to the symmetric space σ-model

In this section we will focus on the gravity coupling of the scalar sectors of the dimensionally-reduced supergravity theories which can in general be modelled as symmetric space or reductive coset sigma models [38, 39, 40]. Our construction will be for a general G/K coset scalar manifold where the scalars live in D-dimensions. In the next section we will use our general

results in the context of thick brane world scenarios in which the scalar configuration will correspond to the interactions on the brane.

2.1 *The action*

The general non-linear sigma model [30, 31, 32] action can be given as

$$S_{NLSM} = \int d^{(D)}\sigma\sqrt{-h}\, h^{AB} g_{ab}\partial_A\varphi^a\partial_B\varphi^b. \tag{2.1.1}$$

To clarify (2.1.1) one has to consider an immersion map

$$f:\ N \longrightarrow M, \tag{2.1.2}$$

of a smooth D-dimensional manifold N into another smooth manifold M. In supergravity N is the spacetime and M is the scalar manifold on the other hand in p-brane dynamics N is the world volume of the p-brane and M is the background space. The action (2.1.1) is defined on N. If one considers the metric h_{AB} on N as an independent field and varies the action (2.1.1) with respect to it one finds the corresponding field equations

$$h_{AB} = g_{ab}\partial_A\varphi^a\partial_B\varphi^b, \tag{2.1.3}$$

which denote that h_{AB} comes out to be the pullback of the metric g_{ab} which is defined on M onto N through the immersion map (2.1.2) of N. In (2.1.1) h is the determinant of the metric h_{AB}. If on a chart of M the coordinates of the target space M are taken to be φ^b then they can be considered to be scalar fields on N via the immersion map (2.1.2). For a local coordinate chart of N with coordinates x^A composition of the coordinate charts with (2.1.2) also gives the fields $\varphi^b(x^A)$ which appear in (2.1.1). In particular the target manifold M can be chosen to be a coset space G/K. Furthermore if G is a non-compact real form of any other semi-simple Lie group and if K is a maximal compact subgroup of G, also if the Lie algebra of K is a maximal compactly imbedded Lie subalgebra of the Lie algebra of G then G/K is a Riemannian globally symmetric space for all the G-invariant Riemannian structures on it [37]. In this case the sigma model is called the symmetric space sigma model (SSSM) [38, 39, 40]. The action of the SSSM can be given as [41, 42, 43, 44, 45, 46, 47]

$$S_{SSSM} = \frac{1}{4}\int tr(*d\mathcal{M}^{-1}\wedge d\mathcal{M}), \tag{2.1.4}$$

where $\mathcal{M}$ is a map from N into $M = G/K$ which is based on the global solvable Lie algebra parametrization of G/K and the trace is over the matrix representation of G.[1] (2.1.4) is invariant under the global action of G from the right and the local action of K from the left. In [47] (2.1.4) is explicitly derived in terms of the global solvable Lie algebra parameters of G/K [41, 42, 43, 44, 45, 46, 47] namely in terms of the fields

$$\{\phi^1, \phi^2, \cdots, \phi^r, \chi^1, \chi^2, \cdots, \chi^n\}, \tag{2.1.5}$$

which can be considered to be independent scalar fields on N and for which $r+n = \dim(G/K)$.[2] We may further assume that the global parametrization of (2.1.5) coincides with a local coordinate chart of M. Also if we take a local chart for N then the fields in (2.1.5) can be considered to be coinciding with the ones in (2.1.1). In terms of these scalar fields from [47] the action reads

$$\begin{aligned} S_{SSSM} = \int \Big(& -\frac{1}{8}\,\mathcal{A}_{ij} * d\phi^i \wedge d\phi^j - \frac{1}{4}\,\mathcal{B}_{i\alpha} * d\phi^i \wedge e^{\frac{1}{2}\alpha_j\phi^j}\mathbf{\Omega}^\alpha_\gamma d\chi^\gamma \\ & -\frac{1}{2}\,\mathcal{C}_{\alpha\beta} e^{\frac{1}{2}\alpha_j\phi^j} * \mathbf{\Omega}^\alpha_\gamma d\chi^\gamma \wedge e^{\frac{1}{2}\beta_i\phi^i}\mathbf{\Omega}^\beta_\tau d\chi^\tau \Big), \end{aligned} \tag{2.1.6}$$

where the coefficients $\mathcal{A}_{ij}, \mathcal{B}_{i\alpha}, \mathcal{C}_{\alpha\beta}$ are normalization constants which originate from the choice of the matrix representation of G, their exact definitions may be found in [47]. α_j and β_i are the root vector components of the Cartan generators coupled to the fields ϕ^i in the solvable Lie algebra parametrization of G/K. We will not explicitly construct the solvable Lie algebra parametrization of the symmetric space G/K here which is extensive in its own right therefore we again refer the reader to the references [43, 44, 45, 46] for further definitions where the solvable Lie algebra gauge is studied in detail. For our purposes we will also not need to know the explicit form of the $n \times n$ matrix functions

$$\mathbf{\Omega} = \mathbf{\Omega}(\chi^\beta), \tag{2.1.7}$$

which appear in (2.1.6) and which will solely appear in our further formulation as coefficient functions. For this reason we also refer the reader to the

[1] We refer the reader to the references given above for a detailed construction of (2.1.4).

[2] In the following we will use the indices $i, j, ...$ for the fields $\{\phi^i\}$, the indices $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, ...$ for the fields $\{\chi^\beta\}$, and we will use $A, B, C, ...$ as the indices of the manifold N.

references [43, 44, 45, 46] for their rigorous derivation and the involved definitions. Next we will introduce the elements of the gravity sector which we will couple to (2.1.4). Since brane world scenarios are open to non-standard and modified gravity formalisms we will try to be specific and transparent in our definitions. The gravity coupling will be by means of the metric h_{AB} on N. Thus we will consider the unique metric-compatible, torsion-free Levi-Civita connection of the pseudo-Riemannian structure h_{AB} on N. The gravitational sector on N reads

$$S_{GRAV} = \int R_{AB} \wedge *e^{AB}, \tag{2.1.8}$$

where we have chosen an orthogonal moving co-frame $\{e^A\}$ with $A = 1, ..., D$ on N. The curvature two-forms R_{AB} of the unique Levi-Civita connection of h_{AB} can be written as [3]

$$R_{AB} = d\omega_{AB} + \omega_{AC} \wedge \omega^{C}{}_{B}, \tag{2.1.9}$$

where $\{\omega^{A}{}_{B}\}$ are the connection one-forms of the Levi-Civita connection of h_{AB} generated by the moving co-frame $\{e^A\}$. Since the moving co-frame is an orthogonal one the metric-compatibility reads

$$\omega_{AB} = -\omega_{BA}. \tag{2.1.10}$$

The torsion-free condition can be written as [48, 49, 50, 51, 52, 53]

$$de^A = -\omega^{A}{}_{B} \wedge e^B. \tag{2.1.11}$$

We may also introduce a potential term for the scalar fields as

$$S_{POT} = \int *V, \tag{2.1.12}$$

where $V = V(\phi^i, \chi^\beta)$ is the potential which usually arises as a result of gauging away some of the symmetry in the scalar sector. Now we can write the total action as

$$S = \int \left(R_{AB} \wedge *e^{AB} - *V - \frac{1}{4} tr(*d\mathcal{M}^{-1} \wedge d\mathcal{M}) \right), \tag{2.1.13}$$

[3] We should state that we raise and lower indices by using the metric h_{AB}. Since we choose an orthogonal moving co-frame the metric components $\{h_{AB}\}$ are constant thus one can freely raise and lower indices on both sides of (2.1.9). Also in particular we assume that N is connected which guarantees that the signature of the pseudo-Riemannian structure h_{AB} is constant on N.

where we have chosen the negative sign in front of the sigma model term to achieve positive kinetic terms for the scalars. Explicitly we have

$$S = \int \Big(R_{AB} \wedge *e^{AB} - *V + \frac{1}{8}\,\mathcal{A}_{ij} * d\phi^i \wedge d\phi^j + \frac{1}{4}\,\mathcal{B}_{i\alpha} * d\phi^i \wedge e^{\frac{1}{2}\alpha_j \phi^j} \mathbf{\Omega}^{\alpha}_{\gamma} d\chi^{\gamma} + \frac{1}{2}\,\mathcal{C}_{\alpha\beta} e^{\frac{1}{2}\alpha_j \phi^j} * \mathbf{\Omega}^{\alpha}_{\gamma} d\chi^{\gamma} \wedge e^{\frac{1}{2}\beta_i \phi^i} \mathbf{\Omega}^{\beta}_{\tau} d\chi^{\tau} \Big). \tag{2.1.14}$$

The variation of this action results in the same field equations for the scalar fields with the ones already derived in [47] for the pure symmetric space sigma model. Essentially the metric g on M is responsible for the global and the local symmetry of the sigma model action (2.1.4). There is a remarkable difference between the pure and the gravity coupled case. In the pure sigma model the field equation of the the metric h is simply (2.1.3) which denotes that the metric h on N is the one induced by g via (2.1.2). Thus since h is considered to be an independent field, to generate the solution space of the model one may choose an arbitrary h and then solve the sigma model field equations which will minimize the pure sigma model action and which will also indirectly determine the metric g. One may repeat this procedure by changing h to generate the entire solution space. Coupling the sigma model to the gravity on the other hand abolishes this methodology. Now the coupling occurs by means of h and h can not be chosen arbitrarily since when we introduce gravity on N we solve for the class of pseudo-Riemannian metrics h whose curvatures minimize the action (2.1.14). The field equations of the scalar fields contain h whereas the Einstein's equations as it will be clear in the next subsection contain the scalar fields as sources. Thus these two sets of equations must be solved simultaneously. Another divergence between the two cases is that the energy-momentum tensor associated with the fields (2.1.5) and the potential will not be null in the gravity coupled case thus (2.1.3) is no longer valid and the metric h on N is not induced by the metric g on M via (2.1.2) in this case.

2.2 *The field equations*

In this subsection we will vary the action (2.1.14) to obtain the corresponding field equations of the SSSM that is coupled to gravity with also a potential term. We will not lay out the detailed steps of this variation, and we have collected some of the results in the Appendix. We refer the reader to [51] for

the standard elements of the variational calculus of differential forms. We will divide the variation of the action into two parts; the first containing the gravitational variation terms whereas the second containing the variation of the scalars introduced in (2.1.5) which is independent of the variation of the metric. Thus we have

$$\delta S = \delta S_1 + \delta S_2. \tag{2.2.1}$$

For the Levi-Civita connection and for the choice of an orthogonal moving co-frame $\{e^A\}$ the explicit form of the first term which is induced by the variation of the orthogonal co-frame can be seen in the Appendix. By imposing the least action principle in (2.2.1) explicitly from (A.1.1) we can obtain the Einstein's equations which read

$$\begin{aligned} *e_{ABC} \wedge R^{AB} = \; & V * e_C + (-1)^{D-1} \frac{1}{8} \mathcal{A}_{ij} (d\phi^i \wedge i_C * d\phi^j + i_C d\phi^j \wedge * d\phi^i) \\ & + (-1)^{D-1} \frac{1}{4} \mathcal{B}_{i\alpha} e^{\frac{1}{2}\alpha_j \phi^j} \mathbf{\Omega}^{\alpha}_{\beta} (d\phi^i \wedge i_C * d\chi^{\beta} + i_C d\chi^{\beta} \wedge * d\phi^i) \\ & + (-1)^{D-1} \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{C}_{\alpha\beta} e^{\frac{1}{2}\alpha_i \phi^i} e^{\frac{1}{2}\beta_j \phi^j} \mathbf{\Omega}^{\alpha}_{\tau} \mathbf{\Omega}^{\beta}_{\rho} (d\chi^{\tau} \wedge i_C * d\chi^{\rho} \\ & + i_C d\chi^{\rho} \wedge * d\chi^{\tau}), \end{aligned} \tag{2.2.2}$$

where we have introduced the interior derivative $i_{\omega_1}\omega_2$ [51]

$$i \; : \; (\omega_1, \omega_2) \in E_p \times E_q \longrightarrow i_{\omega_1}\omega_2 \in E_{(q-p)}, \tag{2.2.3}$$

which takes a p-form and a q-form and maps them to a $(q-p)$-form. We use the notation $i_C \equiv i_{e^C}$. The second term in (2.2.1) which contains the non-gravitational variation of the fields is also derived in the Appendix. Equating it separately to zero leads us to the scalar field equations. From (A.1.3) we read the dilatonic scalar field equations as

$$\begin{aligned} & (-1)^{(D-1)} d\Big(\frac{1}{2}(\mathcal{A}_{ik} + \mathcal{A}_{ki}) * d\phi^i + \mathcal{B}_{k\alpha} e^{\frac{1}{2}\alpha_i \phi^i} \mathbf{\Omega}^{\alpha}_{\beta} * d\chi^{\beta}\Big) \\ & = \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{B}_{i\alpha} \alpha_k * d\phi^i \wedge e^{\frac{1}{2}\alpha_i \phi^i} \mathbf{\Omega}^{\alpha}_{\beta} d\chi^{\beta} \\ & \quad + \mathcal{C}_{\alpha\beta} (\alpha_k + \beta_k) e^{\frac{1}{2}\alpha_i \phi^i} \mathbf{\Omega}^{\alpha}_{\tau} * d\chi^{\tau} \wedge e^{\frac{1}{2}\beta_j \phi^j} \mathbf{\Omega}^{\beta}_{\gamma} d\chi^{\gamma} - 4\partial_k V * 1. \end{aligned} \tag{2.2.4}$$

Similarly again via (A.1.3) we obtain the axionic scalar field equations as

$$(-1)^{(D-1)}d(\frac{1}{2}\mathcal{B}_{i\alpha}e^{\frac{1}{2}\alpha_i\phi^i}\mathbf{\Omega}^\alpha_\theta * d\phi^i + \mathcal{C}_{\alpha\beta}e^{\frac{1}{2}\alpha_i\phi^i}e^{\frac{1}{2}\beta_j\phi^j}(\mathbf{\Omega}^\alpha_\gamma\mathbf{\Omega}^\beta_\theta + \mathbf{\Omega}^\alpha_\theta\mathbf{\Omega}^\beta_\gamma) * d\chi^\gamma)$$

$$=\frac{1}{2}\mathcal{B}_{i\alpha}\mathcal{D}^\alpha_{\theta\beta}e^{\frac{1}{2}\alpha_i\phi^i} * d\phi^i \wedge d\chi^\beta$$

$$+ \mathcal{C}_{\alpha\beta}e^{\frac{1}{2}\alpha_i\phi^i}e^{\frac{1}{2}\beta_j\phi^j}(\mathcal{D}^\alpha_{\theta\tau}\mathbf{\Omega}^\beta_\gamma + \mathbf{\Omega}^\alpha_\tau\mathcal{D}^\beta_{\theta\gamma}) * d\chi^\tau \wedge d\chi^\gamma - 2\partial_\theta V * 1, \tag{2.2.5}$$

where as we have introduced in the Appendix the matrix functions $\mathcal{D}_\theta \equiv \frac{\partial \mathbf{\Omega}}{\partial \chi^\theta}$. in (2.2.4) and (2.2.5) are already derived in [47] by a direct application of the Euler-Lagrange equations to the pure scalar action. However in the present work in the Appendix we have preferred to obtain a complete formulation for the variation of the total action.

2.3 *The energy-momentum tensor*

Now following the identification of the energy-momentum one-forms associated with the symmetric space sigma model and the corresponding scalar potential coupling of the pure gravity we will derive the component expression of the energy-momentum tensor resulting from these sources in (2.1.14). Starting with the Einstein's equations (2.2.2) we immediately see that the energy-momentum one-forms t_C satisfy [51]

$$\begin{aligned} *t_C = &- V * e_C - (-1)^{D-1}\frac{1}{8}\,\mathcal{A}_{ij}(d\phi^i \wedge i_C * d\phi^j + i_C d\phi^j \wedge *d\phi^i) \\ &- (-1)^{D-1}\frac{1}{4}\,\mathcal{B}_{i\alpha}e^{\frac{1}{2}\alpha_j\phi^j}\mathbf{\Omega}^\alpha_\beta(d\phi^i \wedge i_C * d\chi^\beta + i_C d\chi^\beta \wedge *d\phi^i) \\ &- (-1)^{D-1}\frac{1}{2}\,\mathcal{C}_{\alpha\beta}e^{\frac{1}{2}\alpha_i\phi^i}e^{\frac{1}{2}\beta_j\phi^j}\mathbf{\Omega}^\alpha_\tau\mathbf{\Omega}^\beta_\rho(d\chi^\tau \wedge i_C * d\chi^\rho \\ &+ i_C d\chi^\rho \wedge *d\chi^\tau). \end{aligned} \tag{2.3.1}$$

Now we may express the field strengths of the scalar fields $\{\phi^i, \chi^\beta\}$ in terms of their components with respect to the orthogonal moving co-frame $\{e^C\}$ on

N as

$$d\phi^i = \mathcal{F}^i{}_C e^C,$$
$$d\chi^\beta = \mathcal{H}^\beta{}_C e^C. \tag{2.3.2}$$

If the orthogonal moving co-frame $\{e^C\}$ is taken to coincide with a coordinate basis $\{dx^C\}$ then we have

$$d\phi^i = \frac{\partial \phi^i(x^A)}{\partial x^C} dx^C \equiv \partial_C \phi^i dx^C,$$
$$d\chi^\beta = \frac{\partial \chi^\beta(x^A)}{\partial x^C} dx^C \equiv \partial_C \chi^\beta dx^C, \tag{2.3.3}$$

which implies that

$$\mathcal{F}^i{}_C = \frac{\partial \phi^i(x^A)}{\partial x^C} \equiv \partial_C \phi^i(x^A),$$
$$\mathcal{H}^\beta{}_C = \frac{\partial \chi^\beta(x^A)}{\partial x^C} \equiv \partial_C \chi^\beta(x^A). \tag{2.3.4}$$

By taking the Hodge-dual of both sides of (2.3.1), also by inserting the component expansions (2.3.2) and by further simplifying we can obtain the energy-momentum one-forms as

$$\begin{aligned} t_C = \Bigg(& -V h_{CA} - (-1)^{D-1} \Bigg(\frac{1}{8} \mathcal{A}_{ij} (-\mathcal{F}^{iB} \mathcal{F}^j{}_B h_{CA} + \mathcal{F}^i{}_C \mathcal{F}^j{}_A + \mathcal{F}^j{}_C \mathcal{F}^i{}_A) \\ & + \frac{1}{4} \mathcal{B}_{i\alpha} e^{\frac{1}{2}\alpha_j \phi^j} \mathbf{\Omega}^\alpha_\beta (-\mathcal{F}^{iB} \mathcal{H}^\beta{}_B h_{CA} + \mathcal{F}^i{}_C \mathcal{H}^\beta{}_A + \mathcal{H}^\beta{}_C \mathcal{F}^i{}_A) \\ & + \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{C}_{\alpha\beta} e^{\frac{1}{2}\alpha_i \phi^i} e^{\frac{1}{2}\beta_j \phi^j} \mathbf{\Omega}^\alpha_\tau \mathbf{\Omega}^\beta_\rho (-\mathcal{H}^{\tau B} \mathcal{H}^\rho{}_B h_{CA} + \mathcal{H}^\tau{}_C \mathcal{H}^\rho{}_A \\ & + \mathcal{H}^\rho{}_C \mathcal{H}^\tau{}_A) \Bigg) \Bigg) e^A. \end{aligned} \tag{2.3.5}$$

Since the energy-momentum tensor components $\{T_{CA}\}$ are defined through

$$t_C = T_{CA} e^A, \tag{2.3.6}$$

we can finally write the energy-momentum tensor in terms of the components of the scalar field strengths which are expanded in the chosen orthogonal moving co-frame as

$$T_{CA} = \quad -V h_{CA} + \mathcal{T}_{CA}, \tag{2.3.7}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{T}_{CA} = &- (-1)^{D-1}\Big(\frac{1}{8}\,\mathcal{A}_{ij}(-\mathcal{F}^{iB}\mathcal{F}^{j}{}_{B}h_{CA} + \mathcal{F}^{i}{}_{C}\mathcal{F}^{j}{}_{A} + \mathcal{F}^{j}{}_{C}\mathcal{F}^{i}{}_{A}) \\ &+ \frac{1}{4}\,\mathcal{B}_{i\alpha}e^{\frac{1}{2}\alpha_j\phi^j}\mathbf{\Omega}^{\alpha}_{\beta}(-\mathcal{F}^{iB}\mathcal{H}^{\beta}{}_{B}h_{CA} + \mathcal{F}^{i}{}_{C}\mathcal{H}^{\beta}{}_{A} + \mathcal{H}^{\beta}{}_{C}\mathcal{F}^{i}{}_{A}) \\ &+ \frac{1}{2}\,\mathcal{C}_{\alpha\beta}e^{\frac{1}{2}\alpha_i\phi^i}e^{\frac{1}{2}\beta_j\phi^j}\mathbf{\Omega}^{\alpha}_{\tau}\mathbf{\Omega}^{\beta}_{\rho}(-\mathcal{H}^{\tau B}\mathcal{H}^{\rho}{}_{B}h_{CA} + \mathcal{H}^{\tau}{}_{C}\mathcal{H}^{\rho}{}_{A} + \mathcal{H}^{\rho}{}_{C}\mathcal{H}^{\tau}{}_{A})\Big),\end{aligned} \tag{2.3.8}$$

is the energy-momentum tensor contribution of the symmetric space sigma model. In the next Section when we consider the thick brane world scenario we will adopt especially the sigma model part of the energy-momentum tensor (2.3.7). However after renaming the coefficients it will be reduced to a simpler form by choosing the co-frame as a coordinate one and in particular by assigning a metric ansatz for the manifold N.

3 Bulk scalar interactions on thick brane worlds

After a detailed analysis of the symmetric space sigma model- gravity coupling in the previous section we will now turn our attention to the main objective of the present work which aims the derivation of the dynamical equations describing the bulk scalar interactions within the context of the smoothed brane world scenario. As discussed in [28] the bulk scalars interact with the brane-forming scalar within the framework of a sigma-model in which the bulk moduli scalars couple to the kinetic term of the brane-forming one. As we have already discussed in the Introduction we will focus on the symmetric space sigma model form of interactions which is the most realistic one as it appears as a result of the dimensional reduction of the higher dimensional supergravity theories.

The link between the physical scenarios discussed in this section and the formal gravity-symmetric space sigma model coupling of the previous section will be apparent when we consider the minimal coupling in sub-section 3.2. In summary in the gravity-sigma model coupling context we will assume a $5D$ warped geometry of the bulk which accommodates a Minkovski brane with a warp factor and derive the Einstein and scalar field equations for the $5D$ bulk in component form (rather than their global form of the previous section) so that they will lead us to the modified $4D$ brane spacetime dynamics which is implicit in the warped-geometric cosmological scenario. The formalism of minimal coupling in sub-section 3.2 is almost the same with Section two and in the non-minimal coupling of sub-section 3.3 we will modify this formalism by deforming the Einstein-Hilbert term. The reason why we have separately studied the sigma model-gravity coupling in Section two is basically to derive the right hand side of the Einstein equations namely the matter energy-momentum tensor arising from the scalars of the sigma model in a neat and a general framework. On the other hand in this section we will work out the left hand side of the Einstein equations namely the Einstein tensor for the special geometry mentioned above. For both of the minimal and the non-minimal couplings we will adopt the energy-momentum tensor of the sigma model generically derived in Section two.

3.1 *5D geometry*

Before we discuss the actions which define the brane dynamics in the presence of bulk scalars let us set the back-scene geometry. First of all we fix the bulk dimension to five so that $dimN = 5$ for the notation we have introduced in the previous section. In this work we will consider the flat (Minkovski) geometry for the brane [28, 29, 54, 55]. Therefore our $5D$ metric ansatz will be

$$h = e^{2A}\eta_{\mu\nu}dx^{\mu} \otimes dx^{\nu} - dy \otimes dy, \tag{3.1.1}$$

where $x^4 = y$ is the fifth dimension coordinate, $A = A(y)$, and e^{2A} is the warp-factor for the brane geometry. Our conventions are such that: $A, B, C = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4$; $\mu, \nu = 0, 1, 2, 3$; and $\eta_{\mu\nu} = diag(1, -1, -1, -1)$. Now considering the $5D$ metric h_{AB} and its inverse h^{AB} since via (3.1.1) we have

$$\begin{aligned} h &= \text{diag}(e^{2A}, -e^{2A}, -e^{2A}, -e^{2A}, -1), \\ h^{-1} &= \text{diag}(e^{-2A}, -e^{-2A}, -e^{-2A}, -e^{-2A}, -1), \end{aligned} \tag{3.1.2}$$

from

$$\Gamma^{A}{}_{BC} = \frac{1}{2} h^{DA}(h_{DB,C} + h_{DC,B} - h_{BC,D}), \tag{3.1.3}$$

one can calculate the Levi-Civita connection coefficients for the coordinate frame suggested in (3.1.1). They read

$$\begin{gathered} \Gamma^{4}{}_{44} = \Gamma^{4}{}_{A4} = \Gamma^{A}{}_{44} = \Gamma^{\mu}{}_{44} = \Gamma^{\gamma}{}_{\mu\nu} = 0, \\ \Gamma^{\mu}{}_{\nu 4} = A' \delta^{\mu}{}_{\nu}, \quad \Gamma^{4}{}_{\mu\nu} = e^{2A} A' \eta_{\mu\nu}, \end{gathered} \tag{3.1.4}$$

where prime denotes derivation with respect to the coordinate y. Via the Riemann tensor

$$R^{A}{}_{BCD} = \Gamma^{A}{}_{BD,C} - \Gamma^{A}{}_{BC,D} + \Gamma^{A}{}_{EC}\Gamma^{E}{}_{BD} - \Gamma^{A}{}_{ED}\Gamma^{E}{}_{BC}, \tag{3.1.5}$$

we can calculate the Ricci tensor $R_{AB} = R^{C}{}_{ACB}$ components and the Ricci scalar $R = h^{AB} R_{AB}$ as

$$\begin{gathered} R_{4\mu} = 0, \quad R_{44} = -4(A')^2 - 4A'', \\ R_{\mu\nu} = e^{2A}(4(A')^2 + A'')\eta_{\mu\nu}, \quad R = 20(A')^2 + 8A''. \end{gathered} \tag{3.1.6}$$

Now we can calculate the corresponding Einstein tensor components $G_{AB} = R_{AB} - \frac{1}{2} h_{AB} R$ as

$$\begin{gathered} G_{4\mu} = 0, \quad G_{44} = 6(A')^2, \\ G_{\mu\nu} = e^{2A}(-6(A')^2 - 3A'')\eta_{\mu\nu}. \end{gathered} \tag{3.1.7}$$

Furthermore for a generic scalar field $f = f(y)$ by considering the double action of the covariant derivative

$$\nabla_A \nabla_B f = \partial_A \partial_B f - \partial_C f \Gamma^{C}{}_{BA}, \tag{3.1.8}$$

via (3.1.4) one can show that

$$\begin{gathered} \nabla_\mu \nabla_4 f = \nabla_4 \nabla_\mu f = 0, \quad \nabla_4 \nabla_4 f = f'', \\ \nabla_\mu \nabla_\nu f = -e^{2A} A' f' \eta_{\mu\nu}. \end{gathered} \tag{3.1.9}$$

Also

$$\nabla^2 f = \nabla^C \nabla_C f = (\partial_B \partial_A f - \partial_C f \Gamma^{C}{}_{AB}) h^{AB} = -f'' - 4A' f'. \tag{3.1.10}$$

3.2 *Minimal coupling*

Now in this subsection we will derive the component form of the dynamical equations for the minimal coupling of the bulk scalars to the gravity sector and the brane forming scalar. The relative $5D$-action is [28, 54]

$$S = \int \Big(-\frac{1}{4} R_{AB} \wedge *e^{AB} - *V - \frac{1}{4} tr(*d\mathcal{M}^{-1} \wedge d\mathcal{M}) \Big), \tag{3.2.1}$$

which is differing from (2.1.13) by a gravitational coefficient. We may adopt the general results of the previous section for the gravity-σ-model coupling bearing in mind that now we restrict ourselves to the $5D$ geometry we have introduced above. As usual we will assume that $\phi^i = \phi^i(y)$ and $\chi^\beta = \chi^\beta(y)$. To derive the component form of the energy-momentum tensor let us first introduce

$$\begin{aligned} g_{i\theta} &= \mathcal{B}_{i\alpha} e^{\frac{1}{2}\alpha_j \phi^j} \mathbf{\Omega}^\alpha_\theta, \\ g_{\tau\gamma} &= \mathcal{C}_{\alpha\beta} e^{\frac{1}{2}\alpha_i \phi^i} e^{\frac{1}{2}\beta_j \phi^j} \mathbf{\Omega}^\alpha_\tau \mathbf{\Omega}^\beta_\gamma, \\ h_{i\theta\beta} &= \mathcal{B}_{i\alpha} e^{\frac{1}{2}\alpha_j \phi^j} \mathcal{D}^\alpha_{\theta\beta}, \\ h_{\theta\tau\gamma} &= \mathcal{C}_{\alpha\beta} e^{\frac{1}{2}\alpha_i \phi^i} e^{\frac{1}{2}\beta_j \phi^j} \mathbf{\Omega}^\beta_\gamma \mathcal{D}^\alpha_{\theta\tau}, \end{aligned} \tag{3.2.2}$$

where since $\mathcal{C}_{\alpha\beta} = \mathcal{C}_{\beta\alpha}$ we have $g_{\tau\gamma} = g_{\gamma\tau}$. In order to express the components of the scalar field equations in a compact form in a later subsection we also define

$$\begin{aligned} g_{ki\theta} &= \alpha_k \mathcal{B}_{i\alpha} e^{\frac{1}{2}\alpha_j \phi^j} \mathbf{\Omega}^\alpha_\theta, \\ \tilde{g}_{k\tau\gamma} &= (\alpha_k + \beta_k) \mathcal{C}_{\alpha\beta} e^{\frac{1}{2}\alpha_i \phi^i} e^{\frac{1}{2}\beta_j \phi^j} \mathbf{\Omega}^\alpha_\tau \mathbf{\Omega}^\beta_\gamma. \end{aligned} \tag{3.2.3}$$

With these definitions, further remembering that $D = 5$, $\mathcal{A}_{ij} = \mathcal{A}_{ji}$, and as the scalars are only functions of y $\partial^C(\,\cdot\,)\partial_C(\,\cdot\,) = -(\,\cdot\,)'(\,\cdot\,)'$ we can write down the components of the symmetric space sigma model energy-momentum tensor (2.3.8) as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{T}_{44} &= -\frac{1}{8}\mathcal{A}_{ij}\phi^{i\prime}\phi^{j\prime} - \frac{1}{4} g_{i\beta}\phi^{i\prime}\chi^{\beta\prime} - \frac{1}{2} g_{\tau\rho}\chi^{\tau\prime}\chi^{\rho\prime}, \\ \mathcal{T}_{\mu\nu} &= e^{2A}\eta_{\mu\nu}\big(-\frac{1}{8}\mathcal{A}_{ij}\phi^{i\prime}\phi^{j\prime} - \frac{1}{4} g_{i\beta}\phi^{i\prime}\chi^{\beta\prime} - \frac{1}{2} g_{\tau\rho}\chi^{\tau\prime}\chi^{\rho\prime}\big), \\ \mathcal{T}_{4\mu} &= \mathcal{T}_{\mu 4} = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{3.2.4}$$

In order to obtain the component form of the Einstein's equations we may make use of the relation

$$-*e_{ABC}\wedge R^{BC}=2G_{AB}*e^{B}, \tag{3.2.5}$$

in (2.2.2). Now by also performing the appropriate normalization arising from the coefficient of the gravity sector in (3.2.1) we can read the component form of the Einstein's equations from (2.2.2) as

$$G_{CB}=2(Vh_{CB}-\mathcal{T}_{CB}), \tag{3.2.6}$$

where like in [54] we use the convention $4\pi G=1$ for the $5D$ bulk. By reading the appropriate metric components from (3.1.1), the Einstein tensor components from (3.1.7), also by using (3.2.4) in (3.2.6) we can derive the dynamical equations which will shape the finite brane solutions in the $5D$ bulk. We easily see that the $G_{4\mu}$ and the $G_{\mu 4}$ components give us null results in (3.2.6). The non-vanishing brane generating dynamical equations are the ones

$\underline{G_{\mu\nu}}$

$$-3A''-6(A')^{2}=+2V+\frac{1}{4}\mathcal{A}_{ij}\phi^{i\prime}\phi^{j\prime}+\frac{1}{2}g_{i\beta}\phi^{i\prime}\chi^{\beta\prime}+g_{\tau\rho}\chi^{\tau\prime}\chi^{\rho\prime}, \tag{3.2.7}$$

$\underline{G_{44}}$

$$6(A')^{2}=-2V+\frac{1}{4}\mathcal{A}_{ij}\phi^{i\prime}\phi^{j\prime}+\frac{1}{2}g_{i\beta}\phi^{i\prime}\chi^{\beta\prime}+g_{\tau\rho}\chi^{\tau\prime}\chi^{\rho\prime}.$$

These two equations can be combined to yield

$$A''=-\frac{1}{6}\mathcal{A}_{ij}\phi^{i\prime}\phi^{j\prime}-\frac{1}{3}g_{i\beta}\phi^{i\prime}\chi^{\beta\prime}-\frac{2}{3}g_{\tau\rho}\chi^{\tau\prime}\chi^{\rho\prime}, \tag{3.2.8}$$

which together with the second equation in (3.2.7) is more appropriate for the first-order formalism in search for brane solutions. On the other hand as discussed in [28] one can show that solutions of the first-order equations

$$\varphi^{a\,\prime}=\frac{1}{2}g^{ab}\partial_{\varphi^{b}}W(\varphi^{c}),\quad A'=-\frac{1}{3}W(\varphi^{c}), \tag{3.2.9}$$

are also solutions of (3.2.7),(3.2.8) and the corresponding scalar field equations. Here supergravity originated superpotential W is related to the ordinary potential V via

$$V(\varphi^{c})=\frac{1}{8}g^{ab}\partial_{\varphi^{a}}W(\varphi^{c})\partial_{\varphi^{b}}W(\varphi^{c})-\frac{1}{3}\big(W(\varphi^{c})\big)^{2}. \tag{3.2.10}$$

In this expression without sub-labelling we have denoted the set of scalars ϕ^i and χ^β generally as φ^c. The scalar manifold metric g_{ab} which is introduced in (2.1.1) can be directly read from (2.1.6) which is also explicitly studied in [56].

3.3 *Non-minimal coupling*

In this subsection we will consider the generalization of the action (3.2.1) in the gravity-scalar coupling sense and we will derive the dynamical field equations of the non-minimal coupling of the scalars to the gravity. The action which contains the modified gravity-bulk scalar coupling takes the form

$$S = \int \Big(-\frac{1}{4} f R_{AB} \wedge *e^{AB} - *V - \frac{1}{4} tr(*d\mathcal{M}^{-1} \wedge d\mathcal{M}) \Big), \tag{3.3.1}$$

where $f = f(\phi^i, \chi^\beta)$ is a generic function of the bulk scalars. In the Appendix we present the details of the variation of the gravity term in (3.3.1). By using (A.2.13) [4] via the total variation of (3.3.1) we can write down the modified Einstein's equations as

$$-\frac{1}{2} f G_{AB} + \frac{1}{2}\big(\nabla_A \nabla_B(f) - \nabla_C \nabla^C(f) h_{AB}\big) = -V h_{AB} + \mathcal{T}_{AB}. \tag{3.3.2}$$

Now if we consider the $5D$ manifold with the metric (3.1.1) since $G_{4\nu}; \nabla_4 \nabla_\nu(f)$, as well as $h_{4\nu}, \mathcal{T}_{4\nu}$ vanish (3.3.2) gives us null equations for the $G_{4\nu}$ and $G_{\nu 4}$ components. Similarly by substituting the other components via (3.1.1), (3.1.7), (3.1.9), (3.2.4) in (3.3.2) we get the non-vanishing finite-brane generating dynamical equations of the non-minimal gravity coupling of the bulk scalars as

$\underline{G_{\mu\nu}}$

$$3f\big(A'' + 2(A')^2\big) + f'' + 3\, f' A' = -2V - \frac{1}{4}\mathcal{A}_{ij}\phi^{i\prime}\phi^{j\prime} - \frac{1}{2} g_{i\beta}\phi^{i\prime}\chi^{\beta\prime} - g_{\tau\rho}\chi^{\tau\prime}\chi^{\rho\prime},$$

$\underline{G_{44}}$

$$-6f(A')^2 - 4\, f' A' = 2V - \frac{1}{4}\mathcal{A}_{ij}\phi^{i\prime}\phi^{j\prime} - \frac{1}{2} g_{i\beta}\phi^{i\prime}\chi^{\beta\prime} - g_{\tau\rho}\chi^{\tau\prime}\chi^{\rho\prime}. \tag{3.3.3}$$

[4] By also bearing in mind the appropriate normalization for the energy-momentum tensor (2.3.7) which relates it to the component-form variation of (A.2.13).

By again using the second one in the first we obtain

$$3fA'' + f'' - f'A' = -\frac{1}{2}\mathcal{A}_{ij}\phi^{i\prime}\phi^{j\prime} - g_{i\beta}\phi^{i\prime}\chi^{\beta\prime} - 2g_{\tau\rho}\chi^{\tau\prime}\chi^{\rho\prime}, \tag{3.3.4}$$

which again together with G_{44}-component equation in (3.3.3) is more appropriate for a first-order formulation to search for finite brane solutions. We should state that likewise the minimal coupling case one can also work on the construction of an equivalent first-order formalism in terms of a superpotential W.

3.4 *Scalar field equations*

We now consider the scalar sector and we will present the component form of the scalar field equations for both of the couplings discussed above. Beforehand to be able to switch our formulation to the component form we should remind the reader of the identity

$$e^A \wedge *e^B = h^{AB} * 1, \tag{3.4.1}$$

which is valid for a generic moving co-frame. Furthermore for a one-form $A = A_C e^C$ and a scalar field φ we have

$$\begin{aligned} d * A &= \left(\nabla_C A^C\right) * 1 = \left(\partial_C A^C + A^C \Gamma^B{}_{CB}\right) * 1, \\ d * d\varphi &= \left(\nabla^2 \varphi\right) * 1, \end{aligned} \tag{3.4.2}$$

where ∇^2 is defined in (3.1.10). Now for the definitions in (3.2.2) let us introduce the coefficients

$$\begin{aligned} dg_{k\beta} &= X_{ik\beta} d\phi^i + X_{\theta k\beta} d\chi^\theta, \\ dg_{\gamma\theta} &= X_{i\gamma\theta} d\phi^i + X_{\kappa\gamma\theta} d\chi^\kappa, \end{aligned} \tag{3.4.3}$$

where we define

$$\begin{aligned} X_{ik\beta} &= \frac{\partial g_{k\beta}}{\partial \phi^i}, \quad X_{\theta k\beta} = \frac{\partial g_{k\beta}}{\partial \chi^\theta}, \\ X_{i\gamma\theta} &= \frac{\partial g_{\gamma\theta}}{\partial \phi^i}, \quad X_{\kappa\gamma\theta} = \frac{\partial g_{\gamma\theta}}{\partial \chi^\kappa}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.4.4}$$

Following the definitions introduced in (3.2.2) and furthermore by making use of the above definitions, from (2.2.4) and (2.2.5) we can express the scalar field equations in a more compact form as

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{A}_{ik}d*d\phi^i+g_{k\beta}d*d\chi^\beta&=\big(\frac{1}{2}g_{ki\beta}-X_{ik\beta}\big)d\phi^i\wedge *d\chi^\beta\\&\quad+\big(\tilde{g}_{k\tau\gamma}-X_{\gamma k\tau}\big)d\chi^\gamma\wedge *d\chi^\tau+F_k(V),\\[2ex]\frac{1}{2}g_{i\theta}d*d\phi^i+2g_{\theta\gamma}d*d\chi^\gamma&=\big(\frac{1}{2}h_{i\theta\beta}-\frac{1}{2}X_{\beta i\theta}-2X_{i\theta\beta}\big)d\phi^i\wedge *d\chi^\beta\\&\quad+\big(h_{\theta\tau\gamma}+h_{\theta\gamma\tau}-2X_{\gamma\theta\tau}\big)d\chi^\gamma\wedge *d\chi^\tau\\&\quad-\frac{1}{2}X_{ji\theta}d\phi^j\wedge *d\phi^i+F_\theta(V),\end{aligned}\tag{3.4.5}$$

where we have introduced

Minimal coupling

$$F_k(V)=-4\partial_{\phi^k}V*1\equiv\mathcal{F}_k(V)*1,$$

$$F_\theta(V)=-2\partial_{\chi^\theta}V*1\equiv\mathcal{F}_\theta(V)*1,$$

Non-minimal coupling

$$F_k(V)=\big(-4\partial_{\phi^k}V-\frac{1}{4}\big(\partial_{\phi^k}f\big)R\big)*1\equiv\mathcal{F}_k(V)*1,$$

$$F_\theta(V)=\big(-2\partial_{\chi^\theta}V-\frac{1}{4}\big(\partial_{\chi^\theta}f\big)R\big)*1\equiv\mathcal{F}_\theta(V)*1.\tag{3.4.6}$$

The F-terms include the contributions to the scalar sector coming from the variation of the potential term for both of the cases whereas there is an extra contribution for the non-minimal coupling case which arises from the variation of the modified Hilbert-Einstein term in the action. Now by using (3.4.1) and (3.4.2) we can first express the component form of the scalar field equations (3.4.5) then since we assume that $\phi^i=\phi^i(y)$ and $\chi^\beta=\chi^\beta(y)$ via the relations in (3.1.9) and (3.1.10) we can obtain the scalar field equations

for the $5D$-bulk geometry arising from the metric (3.1.1). The result reads

Dilaton equations

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{A}_{ik}\big(-\phi^{i\prime\prime}-4A^{\prime}\phi^{i\prime}\big)+g_{k\beta}\big(-\chi^{\beta\prime\prime}-4A^{\prime}\chi^{\beta\prime}\big)&\\ =-\big(\frac{1}{2}g_{ki\beta}-X_{ik\beta}\big)\phi^{i\prime}\chi^{\beta\prime}&\\ -\big(\tilde{g}_{k\tau\gamma}-X_{\gamma k\tau}\big)\chi^{\gamma\prime}\chi^{\tau\prime}+\mathcal{F}_{k}(V),&\end{aligned}$$

Axion equations

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{2}g_{i\theta}\big(-\phi^{i\prime\prime}-4A^{\prime}\phi^{i\prime}\big)+2g_{\theta\gamma}\big(-\chi^{\gamma\prime\prime}-4A^{\prime}\chi^{\gamma\prime}\big)&\\ =-\big(\frac{1}{2}h_{i\theta\beta}-\frac{1}{2}X_{\beta i\theta}-2X_{i\theta\beta}\big)\phi^{i\prime}\chi^{\beta\prime}&\\ -\big(h_{\theta\tau\gamma}+h_{\theta\gamma\tau}-2X_{\gamma\theta\tau}\big)\chi^{\gamma\prime}\chi^{\tau\prime}&\\ +\frac{1}{2}X_{ji\theta}\phi^{j\prime}\phi^{i\prime}+\mathcal{F}_{\theta}(V).&\end{aligned}\tag{3.4.7}$$

These equations are the coupled scalar equations for the brane forming scalar and the bulk scalars which must be solved simultaneously with (3.2.7), (3.2.8) for the minimal coupling and with (3.3.3),(3.3.4) for the non-minimal coupling cases.

4 Conclusion

In Section two, we have discussed the elements of the general action which couples gravity to the symmetric space sigma model in the solvable Lie algebra parametrization of the symmetric target space. We have performed the variation of the action to obtain the corresponding Einstein's equations. The variation also leads to the scalar field equations which coincide with the ones derived in [47] which are obtained by direct application of the Euler-Lagrange equations. After identifying the energy-momentum one-forms from the Einstein's equations we have calculated the energy-momentum tensor associated with a generic symmetric space sigma model action. Then in Section three we have turned our attention to the thick brane scenarios of fundamental interactions. Following the discussion about the constituents of the warped

$5D$ bulk geometry which accommodates finite thick brane solutions we have focussed on the dynamics of the bulk scalars in this framework. In this respect we have used the results of the previous section to derive the dynamical equations for the models of thick branes in which the bulk scalar interactions are assumed to be localized on the finitely sized brane solution that is formed by one of the scalars. As we have discussed before such a model is described by a σ-model-gravity coupling in the context of warped bulk geometry. As we have mentioned in the Introduction our focus has been on the interactions generated by symmetric space sigma models which appear as the most common scalar sectors in the dimensional reduction of supergravities. Our analysis has included two distinct cases of minimal and non-minimal scalar-gravity couplings and for both of these cases we have derived the component form of the dynamical equations appropriate for a first-order formalism. Finally we also obtained the scalar field equations for both of the cases in component form for the warped bulk geometry.

Although our analysis is performed for a general σ-model coupling it is done for the flat-brane case. One may consider extensions of the interaction formalism presented here to the AdS or dS brane geometries to study localized gravity in these models as well as the corresponding dual CFT renormalization group flow equations [55]. Similarly one may also consider cosmological solutions in the $4D$-sector including scalar interactions. Other localized interactions of the bulk (specifically for various supergravity multiplets) can also be considered in connection with the scalar sector which is studied in detail here as it needs special care owing to its non-linear sigma model structure. We should state that the formulation presented here is purely algebraic and general, it is applicable to the sigma models whose target spaces are generic symmetric spaces of the form G/K. Therefore the results provide valuable and case-free tools for any other coupling extensions. From this point of view our formulation presents a formal framework for the study of specifically chosen localized supergravity theories in the context of thick brane scenarios. In this work although we have derived the necessary dynamical field equations for a class of models we have not attempted to construct the first-order formalisms and studied their solutions. Starting from the currently occurring Grasmannian scalar manifold models and supersymmetry predicted superpotentials of supergravity theories one may work out for either generic multiplets or for specific supergravity theories interaction carrying extended thick brane solutions in parallel with the rich literature on non-interacting single scalar models. One may also study bulk

scalar interactions on the brane for higher dimensional bulk geometries in connection with higher dimensional supergravities. We should also remark that similar analysis can also be extended for generalized scalar and gravity dynamics [54, 57]. Finally apart from the specific directions we have mentioned above the results of this work can find extensions in various aspects of thick brane dynamics including search for particular solutions and probing phenomenology of localized gravity, gauge and matter fields in various geometries [20, 58, 59, 60, 61, 62, 63, 64, 65].

In relevance to the mathematical content of the present work one may also slightly change the point of view from the warped-geometric braneworld cosmological scenario to the consideration of the coupling of Section two on its own right. That is to say the sigma model can purely be considered as a non-linear source to the gravity sector. It can separately be used as an ingredient in the construction of UV completion of GR in a non-linear context. On the gauge theory side in the UV completion by spontaneous symmetry breaking of the low energy effective sigma model theory which is also closely related to the string theories sigma models play the central role. One may expect a similar contribution to the quantum gravity model building which also emerges from the unifying and underlying string theories. Recently a ghost-free non-linear extension of the Fierz-Pauli [66] massive gravity has been constructed [67, 68, 69, 70, 71, 72]. In its physically truncated form [71] of this non-linear massive gravity the basic ingredient of the sigma model kinetic term namely the induced metric (2.1.3) appears as an argument of the graviton potential which gives the physical metric its mass as a result of a gravitational Higgs mechanism. In that formalism (2.1.3) becomes the so-called fiducial metric $f_{\mu\nu}$; the basic field which is a sigma model type kinetic term and which enables the coupling of the Skückelberg scalars to the physical metric. This emergence suggests that sigma models may play a more generalized role with their entire geometrical content in massive as well as massless non-linear extensions of GR. We should share an observation here that introducing sigma models in massive graviton constructions would need to do changes in the link structure between the sites of the theory space construction of [67] which inspired the de Rham, Gabadadze, Tolley (dRGT) programme of massive gravity. In [67] the links were taken as general coordinate transformations. To introduce sigma models one should also impose the embedding structure of them onto the links, possibly one may introduce immersion maps between various sites in the theory space and consider objects which not only transform covariently under both of the general coordinate

transformations via links but also respect to the immersion structure. This way of introducing sigma models at link level may also resemble the situation valid at low energies for certain gauge theory constructions.

Apart from this above-mentioned fundamental role, non-linear sigma models can also be used as a direct non-linear source in non-linear massive gravity. This can possibly be done in three ways. Firstly in [67] the dual site on which the fiducial metric lives is also taken to be $4D$ so that the links namely the Stückelberg fields which can be considered as coordinate transformations have correct number of dofs (for which one is identified as the Boulware-Deser (BD) ghost to be erased). One may introduce more than four scalars in the context of sigma model immersion framework for which only four of them will get a vev via $\phi^\mu = x^\mu + \delta\phi^\mu$ where $\delta\phi^\mu \equiv \pi^\mu$ are the Goldstone bosons which represent small fluctuations around a background spacetime. Then the relevance of the rest of the scalars can be inspected in the modification of GR to explain DM, DE, or inflationary structures arising via non-linear level interactions of these extra geometrical dof's. Secondly, in [73] it has been shown that in the non-linear massive gravity dRGT theory when one chooses the fiducial metric flat the homogeneous and isotropic FRW type cosmological solutions do not exist due to the same mechanism which cancels the BD ghost. Recently the de Sitter (which is a symmetric space) choice of the fiducial metric is being studied (see for example [74, 75]). These constructions admit FRW type solutions showing once more the special place of de Sitter space in massive gravity theories but still they posses some physical problems to be resolved. At this point in connection with the formalism presented in this work and in [56] one may introduce a parametric generalization for the de Sitter case namely taking the fiducial metric as the most general form of the induced metric of the symmetric space sigma model may assist in the search for the true fiducial background to obtain a physical homogeneous and isotropic universe model from the massive gravity theory. Finally as a third interface between the non-linear massive gravity and the non-linear sigma models like in the attempts to introduce dynamics to the fiducial metric [76, 77, 78, 79, 80, 81] one may take the fiducial metric as the induced metric on the immersed p-brane and consider p-brane massive gravity interactions as a new non-linear source to the dRGT massive gravity.

5 Appendix

A Variational Details

Here we present the details of the variation of the gravity-σ-model coupling of Section two and the non-minimal gravity-scalar coupling of Section three.

A.1 SSSM-gravity coupling

In spite of the fact that the results coincide with the standard variational methods here we will present a detailed account of the global variation of the total action in (2.1.14). When one applies a variation operator on (2.1.14) one can consider the variation of the orthogonal moving co-frame $\{e^A\}$; δe^C which contains the variation of the metric h_{AB} in it and the variation of the scalar fields namely $\delta\phi^j, \delta\chi^\tau$ separately. Therefore one may collect the relative terms in two disjoint groups as in (2.2.1). The first term which contains the gravitational variation becomes

$$\begin{aligned}\delta S_1 = \int \Bigg(& (-1)^{D-2} d(*e^{AB} \wedge \delta\omega_{AB}) + \delta e^C \wedge \Bigg(* e_{ABC} \wedge R^{AB} - V * e_C \\ & - (-1)^{D-1} \frac{1}{8} \mathcal{A}_{ij} (d\phi^i \wedge i_C * d\phi^j + i_C d\phi^j \wedge * d\phi^i) - (-1)^{D-1} \frac{1}{4} \mathcal{B}_{i\alpha} \\ & \times e^{\frac{1}{2}\alpha_j \phi^j} \mathbf{\Omega}^\alpha_\beta (d\phi^i \wedge i_C * d\chi^\beta + i_C d\chi^\beta \wedge * d\phi^i) - (-1)^{D-1} \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{C}_{\alpha\beta} \\ & \times e^{\frac{1}{2}\alpha_i \phi^i} e^{\frac{1}{2}\beta_j \phi^j} \mathbf{\Omega}^\alpha_\tau \mathbf{\Omega}^\beta_\rho (d\chi^\tau \wedge i_C * d\chi^\rho + i_C d\chi^\rho \wedge * d\chi^\tau) \Bigg) \Bigg),\end{aligned} \tag{A.1.1}$$

and the second term which is composed of the scalar field variations reads

$$\begin{aligned}\delta S_2 = \int \Bigg(& (-1)^{D-1} \frac{1}{8} \mathcal{A}_{ij} (\delta d\phi^j \wedge * d\phi^i + \delta d\phi^i \wedge * d\phi^j) \\ & + (-1)^{D-1} \frac{1}{4} \mathcal{B}_{i\alpha} \Bigg(\delta(e^{\frac{1}{2}\alpha_j \phi^j} \mathbf{\Omega}^\alpha_\beta) d\chi^\beta \wedge * d\phi^i + e^{\frac{1}{2}\alpha_j \phi^j} \mathbf{\Omega}^\alpha_\beta \\ & \times (\delta d\chi^\beta \wedge * d\phi^i + \delta d\phi^i \wedge * d\chi^\beta) \Bigg) + (-1)^{D-1} \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{C}_{\alpha\beta} \\ & \times \Bigg(\delta(e^{\frac{1}{2}\alpha_i \phi^i} e^{\frac{1}{2}\beta_j \phi^j} \mathbf{\Omega}^\alpha_\tau \mathbf{\Omega}^\beta_\gamma) d\chi^\gamma \wedge * d\chi^\tau + e^{\frac{1}{2}\alpha_i \phi^i} e^{\frac{1}{2}\beta_j \phi^j} \mathbf{\Omega}^\alpha_\tau \mathbf{\Omega}^\beta_\gamma\end{aligned}$$

$$\times (\delta d\chi^\gamma \wedge *d\chi^\tau + \delta d\chi^\tau \wedge *d\chi^\gamma)\Big) - \Big(\partial_i V \delta\phi^i + \partial_\alpha V \delta\chi^\alpha\Big) * 1\Big), \tag{A.1.2}$$

where we define $\partial_i V \equiv \frac{\partial V}{\partial \phi^i}$ and $\partial_\alpha V \equiv \frac{\partial V}{\partial \chi^\alpha}$. One obtains the Einstein's equations (2.2.2) by equating the coefficients of the variations of the co-frame to zero in (A.1.1). As usual the first term in (A.1.1) which is an exact differential form gives a null result in the variation due to the standard assumptions of the variational method [51] thus it does not contribute to the Einstein's equations. In general when N is an r-chain one can apply the Stoke's theorem and then one can assume that the variation of the independent fields vanish on the boundary ∂N of N. If $\partial N = \emptyset$ then directly the integral of the first term vanishes. We can furthermore simplify (A.1.2) to write it in its final form in which we gather the coefficients of the variations $\delta\phi^i$ and $\delta\chi^\theta$. The result becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta S_2 = \int \Bigg(& (-1)^{D-1}\frac{1}{8}\,\mathcal{A}_{ij} d(\delta\phi^j \wedge *d\phi^i) + (-1)^{D-1}\frac{1}{8}\,\mathcal{A}_{ij} d(\delta\phi^i \wedge *d\phi^j) \\
& + (-1)^{D-1}\frac{1}{4}\,\mathcal{B}_{i\alpha} d(\delta\chi^\beta \wedge e^{\frac{1}{2}\alpha_j\phi^j}\mathbf{\Omega}^\alpha_\beta * d\phi^i) \\
& + (-1)^{D-1}\frac{1}{4}\,\mathcal{B}_{i\alpha} d(\delta\phi^i \wedge e^{\frac{1}{2}\alpha_j\phi^j}\mathbf{\Omega}^\alpha_\beta * d\chi^\beta) \\
& + (-1)^{D-1}\frac{1}{2}\,\mathcal{C}_{\alpha\beta} d(\delta\chi^\gamma \wedge e^{\frac{1}{2}\alpha_i\phi^i} e^{\frac{1}{2}\beta_j\phi^j}\mathbf{\Omega}^\alpha_\tau\mathbf{\Omega}^\beta_\gamma * d\chi^\tau) \\
& + (-1)^{D-1}\frac{1}{2}\,\mathcal{C}_{\alpha\beta} d(\delta\chi^\tau \wedge e^{\frac{1}{2}\alpha_i\phi^i} e^{\frac{1}{2}\beta_j\phi^j}\mathbf{\Omega}^\alpha_\tau\mathbf{\Omega}^\beta_\gamma * d\chi^\gamma) \\
& + \delta\phi^n \Bigg(- \partial_n V * 1 - (-1)^{D-1}\frac{1}{8}\,\mathcal{A}_{in} d * d\phi^i - (-1)^{D-1}\frac{1}{8}\,\mathcal{A}_{nj} d * d\phi^j \\
& + (-1)^{D-1}\frac{1}{8}\,\mathcal{B}_{i\alpha}\alpha_n e^{\frac{1}{2}\alpha_j\phi^j}\mathbf{\Omega}^\alpha_\beta d\chi^\beta \wedge *d\phi^i \\
& - (-1)^{D-1}\frac{1}{4}\,\mathcal{B}_{n\alpha} d(e^{\frac{1}{2}\alpha_j\phi^j}\mathbf{\Omega}^\alpha_\beta * d\chi^\beta) + (-1)^{D-1}\frac{1}{2}\,\mathcal{C}_{\alpha\beta} \\
& \times (\frac{1}{2}\alpha_n e^{\frac{1}{2}\alpha_i\phi^i} e^{\frac{1}{2}\beta_j\phi^j} + \frac{1}{2}\beta_n e^{\frac{1}{2}\alpha_i\phi^i} e^{\frac{1}{2}\beta_j\phi^j})\mathbf{\Omega}^\alpha_\tau\mathbf{\Omega}^\beta_\gamma d\chi^\gamma \wedge *d\chi^\tau \Bigg) \\
& + \delta\chi^\theta \Bigg(- \partial_\theta V * 1 + (-1)^{D-1}\frac{1}{4}\,\mathcal{B}_{i\alpha} e^{\frac{1}{2}\alpha_j\phi^j}\mathcal{D}^\alpha_{\theta\beta} d\chi^\beta \wedge *d\phi^i \\
& - (-1)^{D-1}\frac{1}{4}\,\mathcal{B}_{i\alpha} d(e^{\frac{1}{2}\alpha_j\phi^j}\mathbf{\Omega}^\alpha_\theta * d\phi^i) + (-1)^{D-1}\frac{1}{2}\,\mathcal{C}_{\alpha\beta}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\times (\mathcal{D}^{\alpha}_{\theta\tau}\mathbf{\Omega}^{\beta}_{\gamma} + \mathbf{\Omega}^{\alpha}_{\tau}\mathcal{D}^{\beta}_{\theta\gamma})e^{\frac{1}{2}\alpha_i\phi^i}e^{\frac{1}{2}\beta_j\phi^j}d\chi^{\gamma}\wedge *d\chi^{\tau}$$
$$-(-1)^{D-1}\frac{1}{2}\,\mathcal{C}_{\alpha\beta}d(e^{\frac{1}{2}\alpha_i\phi^i}e^{\frac{1}{2}\beta_j\phi^j}\mathbf{\Omega}^{\alpha}_{\tau}\mathbf{\Omega}^{\beta}_{\theta} * d\chi^{\tau})$$
$$-(-1)^{D-1}\frac{1}{2}\,\mathcal{C}_{\alpha\beta}d(e^{\frac{1}{2}\alpha_i\phi^i}e^{\frac{1}{2}\beta_j\phi^j}\mathbf{\Omega}^{\alpha}_{\theta}\mathbf{\Omega}^{\beta}_{\gamma} * d\chi^{\gamma})\Big)\Big), \tag{A.1.3}$$

where we have introduced the matrix functions

$$\mathcal{D}_{\theta} \equiv \frac{\partial\mathbf{\Omega}}{\partial\chi^{\theta}}. \tag{A.1.4}$$

As we have pointed out earlier we do not need their explicit form in our formulation in this work. The reader may refer to their formal derivation and therein their exact definitions in [47]. If we equate the coefficients of $\delta\phi^n$ and $\delta\chi^{\theta}$ to zero, also by again disregarding the exact-forms in (A.1.3) which give surface terms we may obtain the field equations for the scalars (2.2.4) and (2.2.5). These field equations are the ones which are already derived in [47].

A.2 Non-minimal gravity-scalar coupling

Here we will take a look at the variation of the gravitational term in (3.3.1) which is the differing term from (3.2.1). We will derive the variation for a generic D-dimensional manifold N. By applying a standard analysis we can write

$$\begin{aligned}\delta\big(-\frac{1}{4}\int f * R\big) &= -\frac{1}{4}\int \delta f * R - \frac{1}{4}\int f\delta(*R)\\ &= -\frac{1}{4}\int \big(\partial_i f\delta\phi^i + \partial_\alpha f\delta\chi^\alpha\big) * R - \frac{1}{4}\int f G_{AB}\delta h^{AB} * 1\\ &\quad -\frac{1}{4}\int f\delta R_{AB}h^{AB} * 1.\end{aligned} \tag{A.2.1}$$

For a coordinate moving co-frame starting from the definition of the Ricci tensor via (3.1.5) and by using the metric compatibility

$$\nabla_C h^{AB} = 0, \tag{A.2.2}$$

after some algebra one can show that

$$-\frac{1}{4}\int f\delta R_{AB}h^{AB} * 1 = -\frac{1}{4}\int f\nabla_C K^C * 1, \tag{A.2.3}$$

where

$$K^C = h^{AB}\delta\Gamma^C{}_{BA} - h^{AC}\delta\Gamma^B{}_{BA}. \tag{A.2.4}$$

Furthermore we have

$$-\frac{1}{4}\int f\nabla_C K^C * 1 = -\frac{1}{4}\int \nabla_C(fK^C) * 1 + \frac{1}{4}\int \nabla_C(f)K^C * 1. \tag{A.2.5}$$

By using the metric compatibility (A.2.2) once more we can show that the second term in (A.2.5) can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{4}\int \nabla_C(f)K^C * 1 = &-\frac{1}{4}\int \nabla_C(f)\nabla_B\delta h^{CB} * 1 \\ &-\frac{1}{2}\int \nabla_C(f)\delta\Gamma^B{}_{AB}h^{CA} * 1.\end{aligned} \tag{A.2.6}$$

Now the first term on the right hand side of this equation can further be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned}-\frac{1}{4}\int \nabla_C(f)\nabla_B\delta h^{CB} * 1 = &-\frac{1}{4}\int \nabla_B\big(\nabla_C(f)\delta h^{CB}\big) * 1 \\ &+\frac{1}{4}\int \nabla_B\big(\nabla_C(f)\big)\delta h^{CB} * 1.\end{aligned} \tag{A.2.7}$$

By using the volume form via

$$* 1 = dx^D\sqrt{|deth|}, \tag{A.2.8}$$

and the identity

$$N^C\delta\Gamma^B{}_{CB} = \delta(\nabla_C N^C) - \nabla_C(\delta N^C), \tag{A.2.9}$$

where

$$N^C = \nabla_A(f)h^{AC}, \tag{A.2.10}$$

after some algebra by also using the Stoke's theorem we can express the second term on the right hand side of (A.2.6) as

$$\begin{aligned}-\frac{1}{2}\int \nabla_C(f)\delta\Gamma^B{}_{AB}h^{CA} * 1 = &-\frac{1}{2}\int_{\partial N} dx^{(D-1)}\delta(\sqrt{|det\tilde{h}|})N^C n_C \\ &+\frac{1}{2}\int dx^D\delta(\sqrt{|deth|})\nabla_C N^C,\end{aligned} \tag{A.2.11}$$

where $\{n_C\}$ is the unit normal vector to the boundary ∂N of N and in the first term on the right hand side $\tilde{h}$ must be taken as the image of the D-dimensional metric h under the inclusion map of the boundary ∂N in the manifold N. Since

$$\delta(\sqrt{|deth|}) = -\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{|deth|}h_{AB}\delta h^{AB}, \tag{A.2.12}$$

we can finally write

$$\begin{aligned}\delta\Big(-\frac{1}{4}\int f * R\Big) = &-\frac{1}{4}\int \big(\partial_i f\delta\phi^i + \partial_\alpha f\delta\chi^\alpha\big) * R - \frac{1}{4}\int f G_{AB}\delta h^{AB} * 1\\ &+\frac{1}{4}\int \nabla_B\big(\nabla_C(f)\big)\delta h^{CB} * 1\\ &-\frac{1}{4}\int \nabla_C\big(\nabla_A(f)\, h^{AC}\big)h_{BD}\delta h^{BD} * 1\\ &-\frac{1}{4}\int \nabla_C(f K^C) * 1 - \frac{1}{4}\int \nabla_B\big(\nabla_C(f)\delta h^{CB}\big) * 1\\ &-\frac{1}{2}\int_{\partial N} dx^{(D-1)}\delta(\sqrt{|det\tilde{h}|})N^C n_C.\end{aligned} \tag{A.2.13}$$

It is obvious that due to the vanishing of the variation of the fields on the boundary ∂N the last three terms above which are the surface terms identically vanish. We remind the reader of the action of the covariant derivative on the functional f

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla_B(f) &= \frac{\partial f}{\partial\phi^i}\frac{\partial\phi^i}{\partial x^B} + \frac{\partial f}{\partial\chi^\alpha}\frac{\partial\chi^\alpha}{\partial x^B},\\ \nabla_A\nabla_B(f) &= \partial_A\partial_B f - \partial_C f\Gamma^C{}_{BA}.\end{aligned} \tag{A.2.14}$$

Thus in (A.2.13) we may identify

$$\nabla_C\nabla^C(f) \equiv \nabla_C\big(\nabla_B(f)h^{BC}\big) = \big(\partial_A\partial_B f - \partial_C f\Gamma^C{}_{BA}\big)h^{BA}. \tag{A.2.15}$$

References

[1] Akama K., 1983 *Pregeometry in Proceedings of the International Symposium on Gauge Theory and Gravitation*, August 2024, 1982, Nara, Japan, pp. 267.

[2] Rubakov V. A., and Shaposhnikov M. E. 1983 *Phys. Lett.* B **125** 136.

[3] Arkani-Hamed N., Dimopoulos S., Dvali G. 1998 *Phys. Lett.* B **429** 263 hep-ph/ 9803315.

[4] Arkani-Hamed N., Dimopoulos S., Dvali G. 1999 *Phys. Rev.* D **59** 086004 hep-th/9807344.

[5] Antoniadis I., Arkani-Hamed N., Dimopoulos S., and Dvali G. 1998 *Phys. Lett.* B **436** 257 hep-ph/9804398.

[6] Randall L., Sundrum R. 1999 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **83** 3370 hep-ph/9905221.

[7] Randall L., Sundrum R. 1999 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **83** 4690 hep-th/9906064.

[8] Dvali G., Gabadadze G., and Porrati M. 2000 *Phys. Lett.* B **485** 208 hepth/ 0005016.

[9] Dvali G., and Gabadadze G. 2001 *Phys. Rev.* D **63** 065007 hepth/0008054.

[10] Dvali G., Gabadadze G., Kolanovic M., and Nitti F. *Phys. Rev.* D **65** 024031 hep-th/0106058.

[11] Goldberger W. D., and Wise M. B. 1999 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **83** 4922 hep-ph/9907447.

[12] Gremm M. 2000 *Phys. Lett.* B **478** 434 hep-th/9912060.

[13] Gremm M. 2000 *Phys. Rev.* D **62** 044017 hep-th/0002040.

[14] Csaki C., Erlich J., Hollowood T. J., and Shirman Y. 2000 *Nucl. Phys.* B **581** 309 hep-th/0001033.

[15] Csaki C., Erlich J., Grojean C., and Hollowood T. J. 2000 *Nucl. Phys.* B **584** 359 hep-th/0004133.

[16] Kobayashi S., Koyama K., and Soda J. 2002 *Phys. Rev.* D **65** 064014 hep-th/0107025.

[17] Parikh M. K., and Solodukhin S. N. 2001 *Phys. Lett.* B **503** 384 hep-th/0012231.

[18] Wang A. 2002 *Phys. Rev.* D **66** 024024 hep-th/0201051.

[19] Minamitsuji M., Naylor W., and Sasaki M. 2006 *Nucl. Phys.* B **737** 121 hep-th/0508093.

[20] Bazeia D., Brito F. A., and Nascimento J. R. S. 2003 *Phys. Rev.* D**68** 085007 hep-th/0306284.

[21] Gogberashvili M., Singleton D. 2004 *Phys. Lett.* B **582** 95 hep-th/0310048.

[22] Bazeia D., and Losano L. 2006 *Phys. Rev.* D **73** 025016 hep-th/0511193.

[23] Ghassemi S., Khakshournia S., and Mansouri R. 2007 *Int. J. Mod. Phys.* D **16** 629 gr-qc/0609132.

[24] Cvetic M., and Robnik M. 2008 *Phys. Rev.* D **77** 124003 arXiv:0801.0801 [hep-th].

[25] Kanti P., Kogan I. I., Olive K. A., and Pospelov M. 1999 *Phys. Lett.* B **468** 31 hep-ph/9909481.

[26] Mounaix p., and Langlois D. 2002 *Phys. Rev.* D**65** 103523 gr-qc/0202089.

[27] Navarro I., and Santiago J. 2006 *JCAP* **0603** 015 hep-th/0505156.

[28] DeWolfe O., Freedman D. Z., Gubser S. S., and Karch A. 2000 *Phys. Rev.* D **62** 046008 hep-th/9909134.

[29] Dzhunushaliev V., Folomeev V., and Minamitsuji M. 2010 *Rept. Prog. Phys.* **73** 066901 arXiv:0904.1775 [gr-qc].

[30] Coleman S. R., Wess J., and Zumino B. 1969 *Phys. Rev.* **177** 2239.

[31] Callan C. G., Coleman S. R., Wess J., and Zumino B. 1969 *Phys. Rev.* **177** 2247.

[32] Gaillard M. K., and Zumino B. 1981 *Nucl. Phys.* B **193** 221.

[33] West P. C. 1990 *Introduction to Supersymmetry, and Supergravity* (2nd Edn.) (Singapore: World Scientific).

[34] Salam A., Sezgin E. 1989 *Supergravities in Diverse Dimensions Vol. 1, 2* (Singapore: World Scientific).

[35] West P. C. 1998 hep-th/9811101.

[36] Tanii Y. 1998 hep-th/9802138.

[37] Helgason S. 2001 *Differential Geometry, Lie Groups, and Symmetric Spaces* (Providence R. I.: American Mathematical Society).

[38] Eichenherr H., and Forger M. 1979 *Nucl. Phys.* B **155** 381.

[39] Eichenherr H., and Forger M. 1980 *Nucl. Phys.* B **164** 528.

[40] Eichenherr H., and Forger M. 1981 *Commun. Math. Phys.* **82** 227.

[41] Cremmer E., Julia B., Lü H., and Pope C. N. 1998 *Nucl. Phys.* B **523** 73 hep-th/9710119.

[42] Cremmer E., Julia B., Lü H., and Pope C. N. 1998 *Nucl. Phys.* B **535** 242 hep-th/9806106.

[43] Yılmaz N. T. 2003 *Nucl. Phys.* B **664** 357 hep-th/0301236.

[44] Yılmaz N. T. 2003 *Nucl. Phys.* B **675** 122 hep-th/0407006.

[45] Dereli T., and Yılmaz N. T. 2005 *Nucl. Phys.* B **705** 60 hep-th/0507007.

[46] Yılmaz N. T. 2007 *Int. J. Mod. Phys.* A **22** 2683 arXiv:0707.2150 [hep-th].

[47] Yılmaz N. T. 2006 *Phys. Lett.* B **642** 270 hep-th/0611010.

[48] Gallot S., Hulin D., Lafontaine J 2004 *Riemannian Geometry* (Berlin: Springer-Verlag).

[49] Petersen P. 1998 *Riemannian Geometry* (Berlin: Springer-Verlag).

[50] Jost J. 2002 *Riemannian Geometry, and Geometric Analysis* (Berlin: Springer-Verlag).

[51] Thirring W. 1992 *A Course in Mathematical Physics I, and II: Classical Dynamical Systems, and Classical Field Theory* (New York: Springer-Verlag).

[52] Benn I. M., Tucker R. W. 1987 *An Introduction to Spinors, and Geometry with Applications in Physics* (Bristol: Adam Hilger).

[53] Nakahara M. 1991 *Geometry, Topology, and Physics* (Bristol: Adam Hilger).

[54] Afonso V. I., Bazeia D., Menezes R., and Petrov A. Y. 2007 *Phys. Lett.* B **658** 71 arXiv:0710.3790 [hep-th].

[55] Bazeia D., Brito F. A., and Losano L. 2006 *JHEP* **0611** 064 hep-th/0610233.

[56] Yılmaz N. T. 2010 *Class. Quant. Grav.* **27** 145019 arXiv:1006.1968 [gr-qc].

[57] Bazeia D., Gomes A. R., Losano L., and Menezes R. 2009 *Phys. Lett.* B **671** 402 arXiv:0808.1815 [hep-th].

[58] Bazeia D., Brito F. A., and Gomes A. R. 2004 *JHEP* **0411** 070 hep-th/0411088.

[59] Bazeia D., and Gomes A. R. 2004 *JHEP* **0405** 012 hep-th/0403141.

[60] Bazeia D., Furtado C., and Gomes A. R. 2004 *JCAP* **0402** 002 hep-th/0308034.

[61] Faulkner T., Horowitz G. T., and Roberts M. M. 2010 *Class. Quant. Grav.* **27** 205007 arXiv:1006.2387 [hep-th].

[62] Guerrero R., Melfo A., Pantoja N., and Rodriguez R. O. 2010 *Phys. Rev.* D **81** 086004 arXiv:0912.0463 [hep-th].

[63] Zhao Z. H., Liu Y. X., and Li H. T. 2010 *Class. Quant. Grav.* **27** 185001 arXiv:0911.2572 [hep-th].

[64] Herrera-Aguilar A., Malagon-Morejon D., Mora-Luna R. R., and Nucamendi U. 2010 *Mod. Phys. Lett.* A **25** 2089 arXiv:0910.0363 [hep-th].

[65] Liu Y. X., Zhong Y., and Yang K. 2010 *Europhys. Lett.* **90** 51001 arXiv:0907.1952 [hep-th].

[66] Fierz M. and Pauli W. 1939 *Proc. Roy. Soc. Lond.* A **173** 211.

[67] Arkani-Hamed N., Georgi H., and Schwartz M. D. 2003 *Annals Phys.* **3**05 96 hep-th/0210184.

[68] de Rham C., and Gabadadze G. 2010 *Phys. Rev.* D **8**2 044020 arXiv:1007.0443 [hep-th].

[69] de Rham C., Gabadadze G., and Tolley A. J. 2011 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **1**06 231101 arXiv:1011.1232 [hep-th].

[70] Creminelli P., Nicolis A., Papucci M., and Trincherini E. 2005 *JHEP* **0**509 003 hep-th/0505147.

[71] Hassan S. F., and Rosen R. A. 2011 *JHEP* **1**107 009 arXiv:1103.6055 [hep-th].

[72] Hassan S. F., and Rosen R. A. 2012 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **1**08 041101 arXiv:1106.3344 [hep-th].

[73] D'Amico G., de Rham C., Dubovsky S., Gabadadze G., Pirtskhalava D., and TolleyA. J. 2011 *Phys. Rev.* D **8**4 124046 arXiv:1108.5231 [hep-th].

[74] Fasiello M., and Tolley A. J. 2012 *JCAP* **1**211 035 arXiv:1206.3852 [hep-th].

[75] Langlois D., and Naruko A. 2012 *Class. Quant. Grav.* **2**9 202001 arXiv:1206.6810 [hep-th].

[76] von Strauss M., Schmidt-May A., Enander J., Mortsell E., and Hassan S. F. 2012 *JCAP* **1**203 042 arXiv:1111.1655 [gr-qc].

[77] Hassan S. F., and Rosen R. A. 2012 *JHEP* **1**202 126 arXiv:1109.3515 [hep-th].

[78] Comelli D., Crisostomi M., Nesti F., and Pilo L. 2012 *JHEP* **1**203 067; Erratum-ibid. 2012 **1**206 020 arXiv:1111.1983 [hep-th].

[79] Babichev E., and Crisostomi M. 2013 arXiv:1307.3640 [gr-qc].

[80] Khosravi N., Rahmanpour N., Sepangi H. R., and Shahidi S. 2012 *Phys. Rev.* D **8**5 024049 arXiv:1111.5346 [hep-th].

[81] Nomura K., and Soda J. 2012 *Phys. Rev.* D **8**6 084052 arXiv:1207.3637 [hep-th].